

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/702,084	10/30/2000	Mark Robert Sivik	7575R&	7872
27752	7590 05/26/2004		EXAM	INER
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS	
	JAL PROPERTY DIVIS LL TECHNICAL CENTE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1714	
CINCINNATI, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055	09/702,084	SIVIK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patrick D. Niland	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 </u> ₺	March 2004.					
	s action is non-final.	Jal				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		``,				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/15/04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/702,084

Art Unit: 1714

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/15/04 has been entered.

The amendment of 6/27/03 has been entered. Claims 1-24 are pending.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/702,084

Art Unit: 1714

- Claims 1-24 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of 3. obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6277811 Kasturi et al.. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because, although the claims differ somewhat in scope, they are substantially of the same invention such that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to make the instanly claimed composition from that of the patented claims since they substantially overlap in scope. The applicant's argument that the instant claims are not obvious over the patented claims is not persuasive in that the claims overlap so much, as stated above. The argument regarding suitability for use in hand dishwashing is noted but is not persuasive in that the instant claims and the patented claims encompass the same composition to the degree that the overlapping subject matter must have the same suitabilities. Given the content of surfactants and detergents in the instant composition and its ability to make suds, it would necessarily be able to be used in washing dishes by hand. No evidence to the contrary is seen. This rejection is maintained.
- 4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6645925 Sivik et al.. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because, although the claims differ somewhat in scope, they are substantially of the same invention such that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to

Application/Control Number: 09/702,084

Art Unit: 1714

make the instanty claimed composition from that of the patented claims since they substantially overlap in scope.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Niland whose phone number is (571) 272-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

pn

May 19, 2004

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714